Phonological development of hearing children of Deaf adults

Erin Toohey, *Communication Disorders* Diane Lillo-Martin, *Linguistics – Faculty Advisor*

College of Liberal Arts & Sciences, University of Connecticut, Storrs, CT

Introduction

•Do children with normal hearing, and Deaf, signing parents, develop spoken language in ways parallel to children in typical environments?

•In particular, how do they develop in the area of speech sounds, i.e., phonology?

Background

•Over 90% of children born to deaf parents (CODAs) are hearing

•Schiff and Ventry (1976) studied 52 CODAs; found 21% were developing language atypically.

•Brejle (1971) found that 56 CODAs had normal receptive vocabulary and above average articulation.

•Stoel-Gammon and Stone (1991) – typical phonological development of a 24 month old child:

•Words of form CV, CVC, CVCV, and CVCVC

•A few consonant clusters in word initial and potentially 1 or 2 in word final position

•9 or 10 different consonantal phones in word initial position, including stops, nasals, fricatives and glides

•5 or 6 different consonantal phones in word final position (mostly stops with some from nasal, fricative, and liquid classes)

•The American Speech Language Hearing Association (ASHA) defines disordered or delayed speech as speech that includes difficulty making sounds, including substituting or adding sounds, as well as patterns of sound errors.

Participants

BEN	1;11	2;06	3;00
ТОМ	2;00-2;02	2;03-2;04	2;06-2;07
LEX	3;00	3;03	3;05

All three children have normal hearing, no diagnosed disabilities, and Deaf parents.

Methods

 Videotapes used were part of a larger study being done at Gallaudet University and the University of Connecticut examining the bimodal bilingual development of hearing children of deaf adults.

•Children were videotaped in naturalistic settings, alternating between communicating with hearing adults and deaf adults, weekly from 18 months to 4;06(years, months of age).

 For this study, videotapes with hearing adults, at approximately 24, 30, and 36 months of age were analyzed.
TOM had a greater amount of videotaped sessions selected due to his production of fewer linguistic utterances per session.

 Elan software, a language archiving system, was used to view the videos and accompanying transcripts.
The 50 most frequently used words were derived from each transcript and further analyzed using Microsoft Excel.

Phonemic Inventory:

 Phonemic inventories were collected for each session for word initial, medial, and final position.
This information was compiled into a Microsoft Excel soreadsheet

Syllable structure:

•The child's syllable structure for each token was compared against the target syllable structure to determine percentages of correct syllable structure.

Phonological Processes:

•The phonological processes used by each child were identified and then summed against the total number of tokens during the videotaped session.

Results

Phonemic Inventory: -By 3 years of age, BEN, TOM and LEX have mastered all stops as well as nasals in word initial position. -All 3 children produce at least some affricates, fricatives, glides, and liquids which is to be expected of children around 3 vears of age.

		QEN .				TOM					LEX	
2005	1:11	2.06	2:00		2;00-2;02	2:03-2:04	2.06-2:07	3:01		3:00	2:02	2.0
P												
b				_								
-												
đ												
k	_											
9								_				_
Naxala			_						_	_		
10									_			
Fricatives		-	_				-		-	-	-	-
PILLADORA							_		_	_		
×.												
1												
1												
1												
•												
0												
ь	_							_				_
Africates										_	_	
ar.		_			_				-	-	-	_
ds Géréer					_				-	-	-	_
unuel					_		_		_	_	_	_
				_					-			
Liquids												
Liquius						-		-	-	-	-	-

Syllable structure:

•BEN and LEX both had very high percentages of correct syllable structure as compared to target syllable structure. •TOM showed decreased variation of syllable structure as compared to the other 2 children in the study.

	CV	CVC	CVCC	CCV	CCVC	CCVCC	V	VC	CVCV
Lex 3;00	0.98	0.96	0.83	0.8			1	0.84	
Lex 3;03	0.98	0.89	0.4	1	1		1	0.88	
Lex 3;05	0.95	0.96	0.79	-	1	1	-	1	
Ben 1;11	0.88	0.81	0.88	0.75	0.25	1	1	0.9	-
Ben 2;06	0.94	0.87	0.94	-	1	-	1	0.6	
Ben 3;0	1	0.92	1	1	0.93	-	1	0.9	
Tom 2;00-2;02	0.67	0.48	0.33	0*	1	0*	0.86	0.6	
Tom 2;03-2;04	0.79	0.5	0.46	0.56	0.38	-	1	0.67	0.6
Tom 2;06-2;07	0.98	0.74	0.55	0.2	0.63	0.4	1	0.76	
Tom 3;01	0.95	0.84	0.48	0.7	0.92	0	0.95	0.66	0.7
* if ≤ 2 observations				-		-			

Phonological Processes:

•BEN had low occurrences of all phonological processes which is consistent with the typical findings of 3 year old children. His adult-like utterances were 79% by 3:0.

 Similarly, LEX had low occurrences of all phonological processes. By age 3:05, he had adult-like utterances of 77%.
However, TOM was still producing some phonological processes by age 3:01, including final consonant deletion and stopping. His adult-like utterances were 47%.

BEN	Total	Total	Adult-	Final Com	Stopping	Weak Syll	Context Sens	Gliding of	Velar	Devoicing	Cluster	Consonant
	Types	Tokens	Like	Deletion		Deletion	Volcing		Fronting		Reduction	Harmony
1;11	47	176	110 (.63)	35 (.2)	\$ (.05)	(0) 0	0 (0)	12 (.07)	0(0)	0 (0)	14 (.08)	0 (0)
2,06	52	249	160 (.64)	24 (.1)	28 (.11)	5 (.02)	8 (.03)	7 (.03)	15 (.08)	3 (.01)	4 (.02)	0 (0) 0
3;0	48	227	180 (.79)	15 (.07)	4 (.02)	0 (0)	10 (.04)	2(.01)	0(0)	4 (.02)	11 (.02)	0 (0)
TOM	Total	Total			Stopping	Weak Syll		Gliding of	Velar	Devoicing	Cluster	Consonant
	Types	Tokens	Like	Deletion		Deletion	Voicing	Liquids	Fronting		Reduction	Harmony
2,00-2,02	82	142		54 (.38)	19 (.13)		5 (.04)	\$ (.06)		4 (.03)	0 (0)	0 (0)
2,03-2,04	132	219	74 (.34)	69 (.32)	33 (.15)	6 (.03)	2 (.04)	21 (.1)	15 (.07)	\$ (.04)	2 (.01)	0 (0)
2,06-2,07	92	309			35 (.11)			\$ (.03)				0 (0)
3,01	27	378	176 (.47)	52 (.14)	52 (.14)	1 (0)	3 (.01)	27 (.07)	3 (.01)	6 (.02)	23 (.06)	5 (.01)
LEX	Total	Total	Adult-	Final Com	Stopping	Weak Syll	Context Sens	Gliding of	Velar	Devoicing	Cluster	Consonan
	Types	Tokens	Like	Deletion		Deletion	Voicing		Fronting		Reduction	
3,00	52	231	178 (.77)	5 (.02)	14 (.06)	2 (.01)	8 (.03)	5 (.02)	2(.01)	\$ (.04)	11 (.05)	0 (0)
3;03	51			22 (.1)	15 (.07)				5 (.02)	1 (0)		0 (0)
3:05			142 (.77)				3 (.02)	2(.01)				0.001

Conclusions

•The 3 CODA children examined in the study are developing language in a pattern that is consistent with children in typical linguistic environments.

•TOM, however, did present at the low end of the normal range of linguistic development. This may be due in part to his linguistic environment but this can not be determined until further research has examined his language at an older age.

Bibliography

American Speech-Language-Hearing Association. (1997). Speech Sound Disorders: Articulation and Phonological Processes.

Bowen, C. (1998). Typical speech development: the gradual acquisition of the speech sound system. Retrieved on January 28, 2009, from http://www.speech-language-therapy.com/acquisition.html

Sachs, J., Bard, B., & Johnson, M.L. (1981). Language learning with restricted input: Case studies of two hearing children of deaf parents. *Applied Psycholinguistics*, 2(1), 33-54.

Schiff, N., & Ventry, I. (1976). Communication Problems in Hearing Children of Deaf Parents. Journal of Speech and Hearing Disorders.

Schiff-Myers, N. (1988). Hearing children of deaf parents. In D. Bishop & K. Mogford (Eds.), Language development in exceptional circumstances, (pp. 47-61).

Acknowledgements

•This research was supported in part by a University of Connecticut SURF grant; by the U.S. National Institutes of Health - NIDCD grant #DC009263 to Diane Lillo-Martin, PI; and by a Gallaudet University Priority Grant to Deborah Chen Pichler. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institute on Deafness and Other Communication Disorders and the National Institutes of Health.

•We thank the Deaf consultants, research assistants, children, and their families who work with us in our research.

inted by **MegaPrint Inc.** www.postersession.c